

Week 4 Outline

1. Recap on Pragmatics:

A Minimal Two-Sorted Deontic Bilateral Pragmatic Metavocabulary.

Reason relations defined w/res to that pragmatic MV:

Pragmatic consequence:  $\Gamma$  implies A iff any position that includes accepting all of  $\Gamma$  and rejecting A is normatively incoherent or “out of bounds”: one cannot be entitled to such a constellation of commitments.

2. Semantics:

From Proof Theory to Model Theory.

Tarski, PW (mention Etchemendy on tension between these), Truth-Maker.

Metaphysics.

Semantics.

Consequence:

As Entailment.

As Containment.

3. Hlobil Isomorphism:

a) Define Consequence as Implication:

**$\Gamma$  implies A iff every fusion of any truth-maker of all of  $\Gamma$  with any falsity-maker of A is an impossible state.**

This definition of consequence uses both the modal and the mereological structure of TM.

b) Semantic consequence:  $\Gamma$  implies A iff any fusion of a state that verifies all the members of  $\Gamma$  with a state that falsifies A is an impossible state.

4. Conceptual Roles and Reason Relations.

5. History of Conceptual Realism I:

From Resemblance to Representation

From Atomism to Holism

6. History of Conceptual Realism II: Kant and after.

7. Conceptual Realism at the level of Reason Relations.